arXiv:1511.05469v2 [math.AP] 16 Mar 2016

A 3D Euler equation solution with 2D sets of singularities and data with Hölder continuous first order derivatives

Jörg Kampen

March 17, 2016

Abstract

An example of a solution branch of the three dimensional Euler equation Cauchy problem is constructed which develops a singular velocity component and a singular vorticity component after finite time for some data which have Hölder continuous first order spatial derivatives. Such a solution branch can be extended beyond a time section at some positive finite time where a two dimensional set of singularities is located.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q31, 76N10

1 Introduction

If $\tau \to v_i^E(\tau,.), 1 \leq i \leq D, \tau \in [0,T)$ denotes a velocity function solution of the D-dimensional incompressible Euler equation with final data $v_i^E(T,.) = h_i$, then the function $\tau \to v_i^{E,-}(\tau,.) := v_i^{E,-}(T-\tau,.), 1 \leq i \leq D, t \in [0,T)$ is a solution of the time reversed Euler equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v_i^{E,-}}{\partial t} - \sum_{j=1}^D v_j^{E,-} \frac{\partial v_i^{E,-}}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{\partial p^{E,-}}{\partial x_i}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^D \frac{\partial v_i^{E,-}}{\partial x_i} = 0, \\ v_i^{E,-}(0,.) = h_i(.), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq D, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $-\frac{\partial p^{E,-}}{\partial x_i} = p_{,i}$ denotes the spatial derivative of the time reversed pressure $p^{E,-}(t,.) = p^E(\tau,.)$, $\tau = -t$. Here we use classical Einstein notation for derivatives. The external force term f_i, $1 \leq i \leq D$ is assumed to be zero for simplicity, but we shall include it in a short discussion concerning the implications of our result to Navier Stokes equation with force term in the final section. The original pressure function p^E is determined by the Euler velocity v_i^E, $1 \leq i \leq D$ such that the components of the pressure gradient are

$$p_{,i} = \sum_{j,m=1}^D \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} K_{D,i}(.-y) \left(\frac{\partial v_m}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial v_m} \right)(t,y) dy, \tag{2}$$

where K_D denotes the Laplacian kernel of dimension D. For simplicity we consider the special case D = 3, where it is obvious that the following considerations can be applied in the case D > 3 as well. For $\beta_0 \in (1.5, 1.5+\alpha_0)$ and $\alpha_0 \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ we choose the data

$$h_1(x) = x_1^{\beta_0} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x_1^{\alpha_0}}\right) \phi_D, \text{ for } x_1 \neq 0, \, h_1(0) := 0 \tag{3}$$

with weight function

$$\phi_D = \frac{-2x_D}{(1+x_D^2)^3} \Pi_{i=1}^{D-1} \frac{1}{(1+x_i^2)^2} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_2 := -x_2 h_{1,1} = x_2 \left(\beta_0 x_1^{\beta_0 - 1} \sin\left(\tfrac{1}{x_1^{\alpha_0}}\right) - \alpha_0 x_1^{\beta_0 - 1 - \alpha_0} \cos\left(\tfrac{1}{x_1^{\alpha_0}}\right)\right) \phi_D \\ -x_2 x_1^{\beta_0} \sin\left(\tfrac{1}{x_1^{\alpha_0}}\right) \phi_D \tfrac{-2x_1}{(1+x_1^2)}, \; x_1 \neq 0, \; h_2(0) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\beta_0 x_1^{\beta_0 - 1} \sin\left(\tfrac{1}{x_1^{\alpha_0}}\right) - \alpha_0 x_1^{\beta_0 - 1 - \alpha_0} \cos\left(\tfrac{1}{x_1^{\alpha_0}}\right)\right) \tfrac{-x_2^2}{1+x_2^2} \Pi_{i=1}^{D} \tfrac{1}{(1+x_i^2)^2} \\ + x_1^{\beta_0} \sin\left(\tfrac{1}{x_1^{\alpha_0}}\right) \tfrac{4x_1 x_2^2}{(1+x_1^2)(1+x_2^2)^2} \Pi_{i=1}^{D} \tfrac{1}{(1+x_i^2)^2} \text{ for } x_1 \neq 0, \text{ and } h_3(0) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Note that $h_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$ is chosen such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$ with $x_1 \neq$ we have $h_{1,1}(x) + h_{2,2}(x) = x_2 h_{1,2}(x) = h_{3,3}(x)$, or (as $D = 39$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} h_{i,i} = 0 \;\; \text{for all } x \text{ with } x_1 \neq 0 \tag{7}$$

Furthermore, in 7 the singularities of the summands are of the form $\sim x_1^{0(1+0)}$ at $x_1 = 0$ and are related to $h_{1,1}$ and $h_{2,2}$. The equation in (7) holds in L^2-sense and in $H^{1,1}$-sense, since the singularities of the second order derivatives are of the form $\sim x^{\beta_0 - 2(1+\alpha_0)}$ Indeed as β_0 becomes close to $1+\alpha_0$ the relation in (7) holds in $H^{2-\epsilon}$-sense for small $\epsilon > 0$. For our purposes it is relevant that the singularities of $h_{1,1}$ and $h_{2,2}$ are integrable. We consider a viscosity extension of the equation for the time reversed equation models of the form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v_i^{\nu,-}}{\partial t} - \nu \sum_{j=1}^{D} \frac{\partial^2 v_i^{\nu,-}}{\partial x_j^2} - \sum_{j=1}^{D} v_j^{\nu,-} \frac{\partial v_i^{\nu,-}}{\partial x_j} = \\ \qquad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} K_D(x-y)\right) \sum_{j,m=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial v_m^{\nu,-}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v_j^{\nu,-}}{\partial x_m}\right)(t,y) dy, \\ \mathbf{v}^{\nu,-}(0,.) = \mathbf{h}, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

to be solved for $\mathbf{v}^{\nu,} = \left(v_1^{\nu,-}, \cdots, v_D^{\nu,-}\right)^T$ on the domain $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^D$. Note that this is not a Navier Stokes equation, because the signs of the nonlinear erms and the sign of the Laplacian are in different relation as in the case of the Navier Stokes equation. We construct viscosity limits of short time solutions of the equation in (8) with data $h = (h_1, \cdots, h_D)^T$. This viscosity limit turns

out to be regular on the time interval $(0,T]$, where $T>0$ is the short time horizon. In the following H^s denotes the standard Sobolev space of order $s\in\mathbb{R}$ and C^δ denotes the space of Hölder continuous functions of Hölder exponent $\delta\in(0,1)$. Furthermore $C^{1,\delta}$ denotes the space differentiable functions with Hölder continuous first order derivatives with Hölder exponent $\delta\in(0,1)$. The main result

Theorem 1.1. *Let $D=3$. For some time horizon $T>0$ there is a sequence of regular solutions $v_i^{\nu_k}, 1\leq i\leq D$ of Cauchy problems with viscosity $(\nu_k)_k$ converging to zero and such that there is a viscosity limit solution*

$$v_i^{E,}=\lim_{k\uparrow\infty} v_i^{\nu_k} \tag{9}$$

of the time reversed incompressible Euler equation which satisfies $v_i^{E,}(t,.)\in H^2\cap C^{1,\delta}$ for $t\in(0,T]$ for some $\delta\in(0,1)$. The function $\tau\to v_i^E(,.):=v^{E,}(t,.)$ with $\tau=Tt\in[0,T)$ then satisfies the original Euler equation on the time interval $[0,T)$, where

$$v_i^E(T,.)=h,\ 1\leq i\leq D. \tag{10}$$

This solution of the Euler equation develops a two dimensional set of singularities after finite time from regular data in $H^2\cap C^{1,\delta}$.

Remark 1.2. The construction of singularities can also be done via the time reversed equation with the data

$$f_1(x)=x_2x_3\frac{r^{\beta_0}\sin\left(r^{-\alpha_0}\right)}{(1+r^2)^2},\ f_1(x)=-\frac{1}{2}x_1x_3\frac{r^{\beta_0}\sin\left(r^{-\alpha_0}\right)}{(1+r^2)^2} \tag{11}$$

and

$$f_3(x)=-\frac{1}{2}x_1x_2\frac{r^{\beta_0}\sin\left(r^{-\alpha_0}\right)}{(1+r^2)^2,\ r=\sqrt{x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^3}} \tag{12}$$

where the choice $1+\alpha_0>\beta_0>1.5$, and $\alpha_0\in(0,0.5)$ is sufficient. In this case stronger regularity of the data can be obtained for a solution which develops a singularity at some point in space time after finite time.

2 Proof of theorem

For given $\nu>0$ let G_ν denote the fundamental solution of the equation $p_{,t}-\nu\Delta p=0$. This Gaussian is used for classical representations of solution schemes. In addition we use the fundamental solution G_ϵ of $p_{,t}-\epsilon\Delta p=0$ for $\nu>0$ for smoothing of the nonlinear terms in the iteration scheme for the first iteration step. The scheme is designed such that the limit $\epsilon\downarrow 0$ leads to a local time solution of (8) and such that for some subsequence $(\nu_m)_m$ converging to zero the limit leads to a local time solution of the time reversed Euler equation. For $\epsilon,\nu>0$ we consider a iterative solution schemes $v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,-,k},\ 1\leq i\leq D,\ k\geq 0$ related to the fixed point representation in (8). We design an iteration scheme where the convoluted data of the Burgers term and of the Leray projection term are Lipschitz such that a well-defined viscosity limit can be obtained via compactness arguments. The choice of the initial data and the design of the first two iteration steps are special. For $k\geq 3$ we have a usual fixed point iteration

scheme related to (8). For simplicity we consider the case $D = 3$. More precisely for $k = 0$ we define for all $t \geq 0,\ 1 \leq i \leq D$, all $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$

$$v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)}(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} h_i(y) G_\nu(t,x;0,y)dy = h_i * G_\nu \tag{13}$$

and for all $1 \leq i,j \leq D$ let

$$v_{i,j}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)}(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} h_i(y) G_{\nu,i}(t,x;0,y)dy = h_i * G_{\nu,j} \tag{14}$$

In step $k = 1$ of the recursive scheme we may use incompressibility such that we can avoid the data $v_{2,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)}$ and $v_{3,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)}$. For $k \geq 1$ we define

$$v^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} = h_i * G_\nu + B_i^{(k-1)} * G_\nu + L_i^{(k-1)} * G_\nu. \tag{15}$$

Here we define for $D = 3$ the Burgers and Leray projection iteration terms $B_i^{(0)}, L_i^{(0)},\ 1 \leq i \leq D$ such that they are Lipschitz on the time interval (0, T] for some time horizon $\mathrm{T} > 0$, and where we define for all $k \geq 0$

$$B_1^{(k)} := v_1^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{1,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} + v_2^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{1,2}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} + v_3^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{1,3}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)}, \tag{16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_2^{(k)} := &\left(v_1^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_2^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} \right) *_{sp} G_{\epsilon,1} - \left(v_{1,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_2^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} \right) *_{sp} G_\epsilon \\ &+ v_2^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{2,2}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} + v_3^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{2,3}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_3^{(k)} := &\left(v_1^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_3^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} \right) *_{sp} G_{\epsilon,1} - \left(v_{1,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_3^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} \right) *_{sp} G_\epsilon \\ &+ v_2^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{3,2}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} + v_3^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{3,3}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

For the first iteration Leray projection terms we define for all $1 \leq i \leq D$

$$\begin{aligned} L_i^{(k)} := K_{,i} *_{sp} 2 \Bigg(&v_{1,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{1,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} + v_{2,2}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{2,2}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} \\ &+ v_{3,3}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{3,3}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} + v_{2,3}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{3,2}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} + \left(v_{1,2}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_2^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} \right) *_{sp} G_{\epsilon,1} \\ &- \left(v_{1,2,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_2^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} \right) *_{sp} G_\epsilon + \left(v_{1,3}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_3^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} \right) *_{sp} G_{\epsilon,1} \\ &- \left(v_{1,3,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_2^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} \right) *_{sp} G_\epsilon \Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Finally, for all $1 \leq i \leq D$ and $k \geq 1$ we define

$$v_{i,j}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} = h_i *_{sp} G_\nu + B_i^{(k-1)} * G_{\nu,j} + L_i^{(k-1)} * G_{\nu,j} \tag{20}$$

The iteration scheme above is designed such that such that for the data $h_i, 1 \leq i \leq D$ chosen above we have a well-defined viscosity limit in the sense that there exists a sequence $(\nu_m)_m$ with $\lim_{m\uparrow\infty} \nu_m = 0$ such that $v_i^{E,} = \lim_{m\uparrow\infty} v_i^{\nu,,(k)}$ is a well defined regular function which satisfies the time-reversed Euler equation on on the time interval $(0, T]$ for some time horizon $T > 0$. We are mainly interested in a construction which implies that we have nondegenerate increments $\delta v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} := v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} - h_i *_{sp} G_\nu$ for $1 \leq i \leq D$ and all $\nu > 0$ and $k \geq 1$ and nondegenerate derivatives of these increments. The first observation behind this scheme is that a symmetry of the first order derivatives of the Gaussian can be combined with Lipschitz continuity of convoluted Burgers term data $B_i^{(k)}$ and Leray projection term data $L_i^{(k)}$, such that compactness arguments can be applied to a scheme of the form (21) in order to obtain a viscosity limit. Symmetry of the first order spatial derivative of the Gaussian means that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^D$ we have

$$\left(\frac{-2y_i}{4\nu t}\right) G_\nu(t, y) = \left(\frac{-2y_i^-}{4\nu t}\right) G_\nu(t, y^-), \tag{21}$$

where $y^- = \left(y_1^-, \cdots, y_D^-\right)$ with $y_i^- = -y_i$ and $y_j = y_j^-$ for all $j \in \{1, \cdots, D\} \setminus \{i\}$. This implies that for any spatially global Lipschitz continuous function F (which will be Burgers and Leray projection iteration terms $B_i^{(k-1)}$ or $L_i^{(k-1)}$ for our purposes) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|F * G_{\nu,i}\right| = \left| \int F(t-\sigma, x-y) \left(\tfrac{-2y_i}{4\nu\sigma}\right) G_\nu(\sigma, y) dy d\sigma \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{y, y_i \geq 0} \left(F(t-\sigma, x-y) - F(t-\sigma, x-y^-)\right) \left(\tfrac{-2y_i}{4\nu\sigma}\right) G_\nu(\sigma, y) dy d\sigma \right| \\
&\leq L \left| \int_{y, y_i \geq 0} \left(\tfrac{4y_i^2}{4\nu\sigma}\right) G_\nu(\sigma, y) dy d\sigma \right| =: 4LM_2
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where L is a spatial Lipschitz constant of the function F which is uniform with respect to time, and M_2 is a finite second moment constant. We mention here that this second moment constant is small in the sense that the growth of the nonlinear Euler terms can be offset by potential damping. However in this paper we concentrate on local time solutions. The second observation is that the first order spatial derivatives of the spatial convolution of the data term $h_i *_{sp} G_{\nu,j}$ degenerate as the viscosity tends to zero at time $t > 0$. Indeed, for $t > 0$ we have for any bounded continuous function f with $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^D} |f(x)| \leq C < \infty$ degeneracy in the viscosity limit as with $y_i = \nu t z_i, 1 \leq i \leq D$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int f(x-y) \left(\tfrac{-2y_i}{4\nu t}\right) \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{4\nu t}^D} \exp\left(-\tfrac{|y|^2}{4\nu t}\right) dy\sigma \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int C z_i \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{4\nu t}^D} \exp\left(-|z|^2 \nu t\right) (\nu t)^D dz\sigma \right| \downarrow 0 \text{ for } \nu \downarrow 0
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

A third observation that we have polynomial time decay of the increments $\delta v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)}(t, .)$ due to the multiplicative structure of the nonlinear terms (convolution with the Gaussian decreases the order of polynomial decay at spatial infinity a bit but the multiplicative effect is stronger for the data chosen). More precisely, for the data functions $h_i, 1 \leq i \leq D$ we have for all $m \geq 0$

$$h_i \in \mathcal{C}^4_{pol,m}, \ 1 \leq i \leq D, \tag{24}$$

where

$$C^l_{pol,m} := \{f : \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R} : \exists c > 0 \ \forall |x| \geq 1 \ \forall 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq m \ \left|D^\gamma_x f(x)\right| \leq \frac{c}{1+|x|^l}\} \tag{25}$$

From the multiplicative structure of the nonlinear terms it follows that for $m \geq 0$

$$\delta v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,,(k)}(t,.) \in \mathcal{C}^4_{pol,m}, v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,,(k)}(t,.) \in C^{4-\mu}_{pol,m} \tag{26}$$

for some $\mu \in (0,1)$ which accounts for the convolution effects of the linear term of the the convoluted initial data. Hence the regularity of the solution approximations $v_i^{\nu,-,(k)}$ is a matter of local analysis. In order to get a viscosity limit we need estimates which are independent of $\nu > 0$. Next we consider the regularity of the iterative approximations $v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,,k}, 1iD, k0$ of the local time solution functions $v_i^{\nu,}, 1 \leq i \leq D$ and $v_i^{E,}, 1 \leq i \leq D$, which are obtained by limits of zero subsequences $(\epsilon_l)_l$ and $(\nu_m)_m$ where the iteration index $k \uparrow \infty$. According to the previous remarks it is essential to understand the regularity of the functional increments $v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,-,k}, 1 \leq i \leq D, k \geq 0$, especially for the first iteration steps $k = 1, 2$. The main steps are

i) For $t > 0$ and all $1 \leq i, j \leq D$ there is a finite constant $C > 0$ which is independent of ν and $\epsilon > 0$ such that for $t > 0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$

$$\left|\delta v_{i,j}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(1)}(t,x)\right| \leq \left(C + C|x_1|^{2\beta_0 - 3}\right) \Pi_{i=1}^D \frac{1}{(1+x_i^2)^2} \tag{27}$$

ii) For $t > 0$ and all $1 \leq i \leq D$ and $k \geq 1$ there is a finite constant $C > 0$ which is independent of ν and $\epsilon > 0$ and time such that for all $1 \leq i \leq D$ we have for all $1 \leq i \leq D$

$$\left|\delta v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)}(t,.)\right|_{H^2 \cap C^{1,\delta}} \leq C \tag{28}$$

for some $\delta > 0$. Furthermore, there exists $T > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0,T]$ we have for all $k \geq 1$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq D} \left|\delta v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k+1)}(t,.)\right|_{H^2 \cap C^{1,\delta}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq D} \left|\delta v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)}(t,.)\right|_{H^2 \cap C^{1,\delta}}. \tag{29}$$

iii) there exists zero sequences $(\epsilon_m)_m$ and $(\nu_m)_m$such that

$$v_i^{E,-} = \lim_{\nu_m \downarrow 0, \epsilon_m \downarrow 0} h_i *_{sp} G_{\nu_m} + \lim_{\nu_m \downarrow 0, \epsilon_m \downarrow 0} \delta v^{\nu_m, \epsilon_m, -, (k+1)}, \ 1 \leq i \leq D, \tag{30}$$

solves the time reversed Euler equation on the time interval $[0,T]$ in H^1-sense and classically on the time interval $(0,T]$ and such that for all $t \in (0,T]$ there exists a finite $C > 0$ such that

$$\left|v_i^{E,-}(t,.)\right|_{H^2 \cap C^{1,\delta}} \leq C \tag{31}$$

for some $\delta > 0$.

Ad i) we first observe that for $t \geq 0$, all $\nu, \epsilon > 0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$

$$\left|v_{1,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)}(t,x)\right| = \left|h_1 *_{sp} G_{\nu,1}(t,x)\right| = \left| \left(x_1^{\beta_0} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x_1^{\alpha_0}}\right) \phi_D *_{sp} G_{\nu,1} \right)(t,x) \right|$$

$$\leq 2 \left| \int_{y,y_1>0} \left((x_1 - y_1)^{\beta_0} \phi_D(x-y) \left| G_{\nu,1}(t,y) \right| \right) dy \right| \leq C r^{\beta_0 - 1} \phi_D \tag{32}$$

for some finite constant $C > 0$ which is independent of ν, ϵ and $t > 0$. Analogous upper bounds hold for $\left|v_j^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)}(t,x)\right|$ and for $\left|v_{j,j}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)}(t,x)\right|$ for $j = 2, 3$. Furthermore for some finite $C > 0$ we have

$$\left|v_{j,k}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)}(t,x)\right| \leq C r^{\beta_0} \phi_D, \ 2 \leq k \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq 3. \tag{33}$$

An analogous upper bound holds for $\left|v_1^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)}(t,x)\right|$. In step $k = 0$ we have no ν-independent upper bound for

$$\left|v_{j,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)}(t,x)\right|, \ 2 \leq j \leq 3. \tag{34}$$

Using the product rule for derivatives of multiplication we can avoid the terms in (34) in a recursion. The first order spatial derivatives of (15) have the representation

$$v_{i,j}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(1)} =: h_i *_{sp} G_{\nu,j} + B_i^{(0)} * G_{\nu,j} + L_i^{(0)} * G_{\nu,j}, \tag{35}$$

where $B_i^{(0)}, L_i^{(0)}$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$ are defined above. Using these upper bounds of the data $v_j^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)}$ and of the first order spatial derivatives which enter in the definition of the Burgers and Leray projection terms of the first approximation (16), (17), (18), and (19) we have global Lipschitz continuity of the Burgers terms and the Leray projection terms, i.e., for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^D$ we have for given $t > 0$

$$\left|B_i^{(0)}(t,x) - B_i^{(0)}(t,y)\right| \leq L|x-y|, \ 1 \leq i \leq D, \tag{36}$$

and

$$\left|L_i^{(0)}(t,x) - L_i^{(0)}(t,y)\right| \leq L|x-y|, \ 1 \leq i \leq D, \tag{37}$$

for some Lipschitz constant L which is independent of Î¡, Çń and t > 0. Here we remark that in the definition of these Burgers and Leray projection terms the derivatives in 36 are not involved such that we can estimate terms of the form

$$\left(v_1^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)} v_2^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)} \right) *_{sp} G_{\epsilon,1} \tag{38}$$

in the Burgers term and terms of the form

$$K_{,i} *_{sp} 2 \left(\left(v_{1,2}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)} v_2^{\nu,\epsilon.-,(0)} \right) * G_{\epsilon,1} - v_{1,2,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)} v_2^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)} \right) \tag{39}$$

in the Leray projection term. In the latter case we note that we have upper bounds $\left|v_{1,2,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(0)}(t,.)\right| \leq C r^{\beta_0 - 1}$ for some C independent of ν, ϵ and t. The statement of item i) follows, where we have

$$\delta v_{i,j}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(1)}(t,.) \in C^\delta \text{ for } \delta \in (0, 2\beta_0 - 3). \tag{40}$$

Ad ii), the local time contraction result follows from a representation of the functional increments and its first order spatial derivatives. It is essential to consider the first order derivatives. For all $1 \leq i \leq D$ and $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\delta v_{i,j}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} = \delta B_i^{(k-1)} * G_{\nu,j} + \delta L_i^{(k-1)} * G_{\nu,j} \tag{41}$$

where

$$\delta B_i^{(k-1)} := B_i^{(k)} - B_i^{(k-1)},\ \delta L_i^{(k-1)} := L_i^{(k)} - L_i^{(k-1)} \tag{42}$$

In order to observe how functional increments can be extracted from interpretations such as in (41) we consider the essential cases based on (17) and (19) above. Since we consider the contraction strating with $k+1 = 2$ we may rewrite the Burgers term for this purpose in a more original form

$$\begin{aligned} B_2^{(k)} := &\left(v_1^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{2,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)}\right) *_{sp} G_\epsilon + v_2^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{2,2}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} \\ &+ v_3^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{2,3}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

For the some reason we rewrite the Leray projection term, where for all $1 \leq i \leq D$

$$\begin{aligned} L_i^{(k)} := K_{,i} *_{sp} 2\Bigg(&v_{1,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{1,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} + v_{2,2}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{2,2}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} \\ &+ v_{3,3}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{3,3}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} + v_{2,3}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{3,2}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} + \left(v_{1,2}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{2,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)}\right) *_{sp} G_\epsilon \\ &+ \left(v_{1,3}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)} v_{3,1}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)}\right) *_{sp} G_\epsilon \Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Using the uniform upper bounds for $v_{i,j}^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k-1)}$ for the involved indices of the previous item, regularity for the Poisson equation, the Young inequality, the increments $\delta v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k1)}$, and $\delta v_{i,j}^{\nu,\epsilon,,(k1)}$ are extracted from (41) straightforwardly. Ad iii) we use the polynomial decay

$$\delta v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)}(t,.) \in \mathcal{C}_{pol,m}^4,\ k \geq 1,\ 1 \leq i \leq D \tag{45}$$

which holds also in the limit $k \uparrow \infty$, i.e., for all $1 \leq i \leq D$ we have

$$\delta v_i^{\nu,\epsilon,-}(t,.) = \lim_{k\uparrow\infty} \delta v^{\nu,\epsilon,-,(k)}(t,.) \in \mathcal{C}_{pol,m}^4. \tag{46}$$

This is enough polynomial decay at spatial infinity such that we can apply compactness arguments in classical spaces (we do not even need RellichâĂŹs compactness argument). We choose sequences $(\nu_l)_{l\geq 1}$ and $(\epsilon_l)_{l\geq 1}$ converging to zero and consider the spatial transformation

$$v^{c,\nu_l,\epsilon_l,-}(t,y) = v^{\nu_l,\epsilon_l,-}(t,x) \tag{47}$$

for $y_j = \arctan(x_j),\ 1 \leq j \leq D$ and for all $t \in [0,T]$ for some time horizon $T > 0$ such that local time contraction holds according to item ii), i.e., the argument

of item ii) transfers. For multiindices γ with $0 \leq |\gamma| \leq m$, for all $t \in [0,T]$, for all $y \in (-2\pi, 2\pi)^D$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$ we have

$$\left|D_y^\gamma \delta_i^{c,\nu_l,\epsilon_l,-}(t,y)\right| \leq c_0 \left(1+|x|^{2m}\right) \left|D_y^\gamma \delta_i^{\nu_l,\epsilon_l,-}(t,x)\right| \leq C, \tag{48}$$

for some finite constants $c_0, C > 0$. Then we apply

Proposition 2.1. *For open and bounded $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ and consider the function space*

$$C^m(\Omega) := \Big\{ f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} | D_x^\alpha f \text{ exists for } |\alpha| \leq m \text{ and has a continuous extension to } \overline{\Omega} \Big\} \tag{49}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_D)$ denotes a multiindex. Then the function space $C^m(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$|f|_m := |f|_{C^m(\overline{\Omega})} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \left| D_x^\alpha f \right| \tag{50}$$

is a Banach space. Here,

$$\left|f\right| := \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left|f(x)\right| \tag{51}$$

An analogous statement holds for $C^{m,\delta}(\Omega)$, where the derivatives up to order m are Hölder continuous with exponent δ.

3 Conclusion

Solutions $v_i^E, 1 \leq i \leq D = 3$ of the incompressible Euler Equation with regular data $v_i^E(0,.) \in H^2 \cap C^{1,\delta}$ which are singular at some time section $t = T$ on a thin set of dimension 2 and in $H^2 \cap C^{1,\delta}$ at all other times. They can exist next to global classical solution branches for the same data. In the preceding example the first Euler velocity component v_1^E is continuous while the first Euler vorticity component ω_1^E is singular. The second and third velocity component are both singular at time $t = T$. In the example above we have $v_i^E(T,.) \in L^2 \cap H^{1,1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq D$ at the critical time section at time T , i.e., the first weak derivatives of the velocity are in L^1. The first velocity component we have $v_1^E(T,.) \in H^1 \cap H^{2,1}$. This is a partial solution of a well-known problem described in [2]. The constructed singular solutions of the Euler equation correspond to singular solutions v_i^ν, $1 \leq i \leq D$ with viscosity $\nu > 0$, and with time dependent force term

$$-\nu \Delta v_i^E =: f_i, 1 \leq i \leq D. \tag{52}$$

Note that for the example above the force term $f_1(T,.) \in L^1$ and $f_i(T,.) \in H^{-2} \cap H^{-1,1}$ only. It is not possible to construct singular solutions of the Navier Stokes equation with time dependent force terms in Schwartz space using the construction above. On the other hand the construction above shows that Navier stokes equations with time dependent force terms in $C^k \cap H^k$ for finite k are not necessarily smooth and note necessarily unique. The construction also shows that uniqueness can be lost at any (short) time and that multiple solutions exist for the incompressible Euler equation and corresponding solutions of the Navier Stokes equation with related force terms defined in (52).

References

[1] Landau, L., Lifschitz, E. *Lehrbuch der Theoretischen Physik VI, Hydrodynamik*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin. J., (1978).

[2] Majda, A., Bertozzi, L. *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow (Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics)* Cambridge University Press , 2001.